


This article was downloaded by: [the Bodleian Libraries of the University of Oxford]

On: 25 June 2015, At: 04:58

Publisher: Routledge

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



AIDS Care: Psychological and Socio-medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/caic20>

Factors associated with adherence to antiretroviral therapy among adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review

Carly Hudelson^{ab} & Lucie Cluver^{ac}

^a Department of Social Policy and Intervention, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

^b Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

^c Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

Published online: 23 Feb 2015.



[Click for updates](#)

To cite this article: Carly Hudelson & Lucie Cluver (2015) Factors associated with adherence to antiretroviral therapy among adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review, *AIDS Care: Psychological and Socio-medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*, 27:7, 805-816, DOI: [10.1080/09540121.2015.1011073](https://doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2015.1011073)

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/09540121.2015.1011073>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

Factors associated with adherence to antiretroviral therapy among adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review

Carly Hudelson^{a,b*}  and Lucie Cluver^{a,c}

^aDepartment of Social Policy and Intervention, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; ^bHarvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ^cDepartment of Psychiatry and Mental Health, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa

(Received 15 September 2014; accepted 20 January 2015)

Adolescents living in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) are disproportionately burdened by the global HIV/AIDS pandemic. Maintaining medication adherence is vital to ensuring that adolescents living with HIV/AIDS receive the benefits of antiretroviral therapy (ART), although this group faces unique challenges to adherence. Knowledge of the factors influencing adherence among people during this unique developmental period is needed to develop more targeted and effective adherence-promoting strategies. This systematic review summarizes the literature on quantitative observational studies examining correlates, including risk and resilience-promoting factors, of ART adherence among adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in LMICs. A systematic search of major electronic databases, conference-specific databases, gray literature, and reference lists of relevant reviews and documents was conducted in May 2014. Included studies examined relationships between at least one factor and ART adherence as an outcome and were conducted in primarily an adolescent population (age 10–19) in LMICs. The search identified 7948 unique citations from which 15 studies fit the inclusion criteria. These 15 studies identified 35 factors significantly associated with ART adherence representing a total of 4363 participants across nine different LMICs. Relevant studies revealed few consistent relationships between measured factors and adherence while highlighting potentially important themes for ART adherence including the impact of (1) adolescent factors such as gender and knowledge of serostatus, (2) family structure, (3) the burdensome ART regimens, route of administration, and attitudes about medication, and (4) health care and environmental factors, such as rural versus urban location and missed clinic appointments. Rates of adherence across studies ranged from 16% to 99%. This review identifies unique factors significantly related to ART adherence among adolescents living in LMICs. More research using longitudinal designs and rigorous measures of adherence is required in order to identify the range of factors influencing ART adherence as adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in LMICs grow into adulthood.

Keywords: HIV/AIDS; adolescents; adherence; antiretroviral therapy; prevention; review

Introduction

As the global HIV/AIDS pandemic matures, the world is witnessing a shift in the burden of HIV infection onto adolescents living in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). Expanded access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) enables millions of children to grow into young adulthood and manage their HIV infection as a chronic disease (Hazra, Siberry, & Mofenson, 2010; Lowenthal et al., 2014). Approximately 2.1 million adolescents in LMICs were living with HIV/AIDS in 2012, and the 3.3 million children under 15 living with HIV globally (almost 90% living in sub-Saharan Africa) will be growing through adolescence in the coming years (UNAIDS, 2013; WHO, 2013a). Adolescents and young adults represent 41% of new infections globally and are the only age group for which AIDS deaths have risen since 2001 (UNAIDS, 2013; WHO, 2013a). The central role of adolescents in determining the trajectory of the global HIV/AIDS pandemic has prompted amplified

efforts to provide treatment and care tailored to the needs of this population (UNICEF, 2011).

Although access to ART has increased in recent years, there remains a disparity between child eligibility and access, with only 34% of children under 15 living in LMICs receiving ART in 2012 (UNAIDS, 2013). As the number of adolescents on ART rises, sustaining optimal ART adherence (often defined as $\geq 95\%$, or less than one missed dose per week) has emerged as a major challenge to survival, health, and prevention of sexual transmission for this group (Martin et al., 2008; Nachega, Mills, & Schechter, 2010; Paterson et al., 2000; Scanlon & Vreeman, 2013; Van Dyke et al., 2002). While limited adolescent-specific data on adherence is available in LMICs, estimates of ART adherence suggest that adolescents are vulnerable to suboptimal adherence and have difficulty in reducing viral load (van Rossum, Fraaij, & de Groot, 2002). One systematic review of adherence rates among young people ages 12–24 yielded 50 studies

*Corresponding author. Email: Carly_Hudelson@hms.harvard.edu

from 53 countries ($n = 10,725$), producing a global adherence estimate of 62.3% (95% CI = 5.71–67.6; $I^2 = 97.2\%$; Kim, Gerver, Fidler, & Ward, 2014). Other systematic reviews of adherence in LMICs among adults and children have produced pooled adherence estimates of 77% (95% CI = 68–85) and 49–100%, respectively (Mills, Nachega, Buchan, et al., 2006; Vreeman, Wiehe, Pearce, & Nyandiko, 2008). A study in nine African countries found that half as many adolescents were able to achieve perfect adherence to ART compared with adults (20% versus 40% after six months; Nachega et al., 2009).

This problem of suboptimal adherence leads to increased risk for viral progression and/or opportunistic infection (Bangsberg et al., 2001; San-Andrés et al., 2003), transmission to sexual partners (Kalichman et al., 2011), and viral resistance in resource-limited settings where second-line treatment is not available in public sector services (Ajose, Mookerjee, Mills, Boule, & Ford, 2012; Bangsberg et al., 2003). Unfortunately, limited information exists on effective adherence-promoting interventions among adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in LMICs, with one systematic review yielding only 3 out of 16 studies conducted in LMICs (Bain-Brickley, Butler, Kennedy, & Rutherford, 2011). The lack of adolescent-specific information underscores the need for more knowledge in this area in order to create evidence-based, adherence-promoting interventions.

A growing literature highlights a web of correlates that may impact on adherence in different settings; however, the majority of studies are focused on populations over age 18 (See Table 1). Systematic reviews conducted on pediatric populations reveal that most research is conducted in high-income countries and among people primarily under age 10, and that studies vary in the measures they assess and methodological rigor (Simoni et al., 2007; Vreeman et al., 2008). While one systematic review has focused specifically on young adult populations, it was limited to the USA (Reisner et al., 2009).

No systematic review to date has specifically examined adolescent-specific predictors of adherence in LMICs. Adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in LMICs experience unique challenges to achieving adherence while living in environments where optimal adherence is particularly important. As both access to ART and the number of adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in LMICs increase, understanding the factors that impact on adherence to ART among this population is essential (Havens & Gibb, 2007; Holmes, Bilker, Wang, Chapman, & Gross, 2007; Nachega et al., 2010). This review summarizes the literature on quantitative observational studies examining factors associated with adolescent adherence to ART in LMICs.

Methods

Inclusion criteria

Included studies met the following criteria:

1. Was a quantitative observational study (including cohort, case control, and cross-sectional studies) evaluating a statistical relationship between at least one demographic, environmental, behavioral, social, health care, or medication-related factor and ART adherence as an outcome (Haberer & Mellins, 2009; Reda & Biadgilign, 2012; Simoni et al., 2007).
2. Included a sample of primarily adolescents (people age 10–19 as defined by the World Health Organization) living with HIV/AIDS (WHO, 2013b). Studies were included if at least 50% of the sample consisted of people age 10–19 at some point during the study period. When this information was not available, studies were included if the reported mean or median age between 10 and 19.
3. Was conducted in a LMIC, in accordance with the 2013 World Bank definition (World Bank 2013).
4. Explicitly measured ART adherence using any method (e.g., viral load, self-report, pill count, home record, pharmacy refill, health-care provider assessment, or electronic monitoring).

Studies were excluded that did not analyze factors in relation to ART adherence as an outcome; did not test a statistical relationship between factors and outcome; were qualitative in design; only assessed a relationship between immunological outcomes and adherence; and/or only examined the effects of an intervention on adherence as an outcome. Studies only examining adherence to pre- or post-exposure prophylaxis or perinatal administration for prevention of mother to child transmission were excluded. Studies without full-text available at the time of conducting this review were excluded. No language restrictions were placed in order to identify as many relevant articles as possible. Non-English language translations were made using an online translator service.

Search strategy

We searched for published and unpublished studies by conducting a search of journal and trial databases, conference databases, ongoing trial registries, and searches of the gray literature in May 2014. Databases searched include MEDLINE (via PUBMED), EMBASE, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), CINAHL, SIGLE, LILACS, Web of Science, World Health Organization Global Health Library, NLM Gateway, and US Institutes of Health's Clinical Trials

Table 1. Select relevant systematic reviews of factors associated with ART adherence among adults, children, and youth in high-income countries and LMICs.

Review	Region	List of factors associated with adherence across studies
Mills et al. (2006)	World Adults	Twenty significant barriers reported in LMICs in quantitative studies: fear of disclosure, feeling overwhelmed, simply forgot, suspicious of treatment, want to be free of meds, do not understand treatment, financial constraints, homeless/other illness, side effects, regimens too complicated, taste/side effects, felt fine/healthy, decreased quality of life, uncertainty, disruption/chaotic routine, fell asleep, being away from home, too busy, irregular supply, negative view of health-care provider.
Simoni et al. (2007)	World "Pediatric" (69% in USA)	Twenty-three significant factors from quantitative research with test of statistical significance: <i>medication-related</i> (type, number of times per day, treatment duration); <i>patient-related</i> (race, younger and older age of child, awareness of status, beliefs about medication, alcohol use, child stress, depressive symptomology, child responsibility for medication, health status/virological factors) and <i>caregiver/family-related</i> (foster vs. biological parents, self-efficacy, belief in medication efficacy, concern about hiding child's diagnosis, parent-child communication, caregiver stress, quality of life, cognitive functioning, caregiver knowledge of ART, fewer barriers)
Vreeman et al. (2008)	LMICs "Pediatric"	Factors from qualitative and quantitative studies: <i>family and socioeconomic environment</i> (rural setting, disorganized biological family, low parental education, family poverty, stigma at school, lack of caregiver ability, limited caregiver adherence strategies associated with non-adherence), <i>dynamics of parent-child interactions</i> (parent-child conflicts, having several adults involved in pill supervision, disclosure of status assoc. w. non-adherence), <i>health status and medication</i> (more hospitalizations before starting ART associated with adherence, but complicated regimens, side effects, and cost associated with non-adherence).
Reisner et al. (2009)	USA aged 13-25	Factors from qualitative and quantitative studies: <i>demographic</i> (being in school associated with adherence; housing instability assoc. w. non-adherence), <i>psychosocial</i> (having adult other than parent as caregiver, caregiver education, less alcohol use, less recent drug use, self-efficacy, quality of life, low stress, concrete reasoning skills, positive attitude toward med, condom use, lower likelihood of STI infection, lower likelihood of having bartered for sex associated with adherence; depressive symptoms, anxiety, prior suicide attempt, and child sexual abuse associated with non-adherence), <i>disease</i> (reduced viral load and CD4 associated with adherence, detectable viral load and later disease stage associated with non-adherence), <i>treatment</i> (fewer drugs, med-related adverse effects associated with adherence, duration of ART, self-assessment of adherence by patient associated with non-adherence), <i>practitioner</i> (practitioner adherence rating associated with adherence).

Registry. Conference abstracts were identified through AEGIS and the International AIDS Society archives in accordance with Cochrane guidelines (Cochrane Review Group on HIV/AIDS, 2012). Specific conference archives were searched by hand or keyword. Search strings and appropriate terms were adapted to each database, and no limits were placed with regard to year of publication. References of included studies and relevant reviews were also checked to identify additional studies (Arrivillaga, Martucci, Hoyos, & Arango, 2013; Bain-Brickley et al., 2011; Haberer & Mellins, 2009; Kim et al., 2014; Lowenthal et al., 2014; Mills, Nachega, Bangsberg, et al., 2006; Nachega et al., 2010; Reda & Biadgilign, 2012; Simoni et al., 2007; Vreeman et al., 2008; Young, Wheeler, McCoy, & Weiser, 2013).

Screening abstracts

Titles, abstracts, and citation information were retrieved, and duplications were removed manually. Titles and

abstracts of remaining studies were screened by the lead author using a predetermined flowchart. Full-text articles were obtained for all articles passing this screening process, and studies not fitting the inclusion criteria as indicated by the title/abstract, full text, or feedback from authors were excluded.

Data extraction and analysis

For studies meeting eligibility criteria, relevant information was extracted using a predetermined data extraction form. Microsoft Excel was used for data entry. The first author collected information on the following items: (1) general study information, including citation and setting; (2) participant information; (3) factors related to adherence, including nature of data extractions and method of analysis; and (4) outcomes information, including how adherence was operationalized, rate of adherence, and correlates with adherence. Questions regarding study eligibility were rectified via discussion

between authors. In cases where the title/abstract passed the screening phase but for which no full-text article could be located, attempts were made if possible to contact authors to obtain additional information to determine eligibility.

A critical appraisal tool developed for the appraisal of cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies was used to assess methodological quality (Wales, 2004). This tool was utilized because it contains important domains for appraisal in observational studies, which focus on selection methods, measurement of study variables, design-specific sources of bias, confounding, statistical analysis, and relevance of results (Sanderson, Tatt, & Higgins, 2007).

Meta-analysis was not conducted due to the heterogeneity across studies with regard to population and methods of measurement and analysis (Greenhalgh, 1999). However, this review provides a descriptive account of factors significantly correlated with adherence. The Results section distinguishes between outcomes based on different methodological or analytical factors, and the discussion aims to interpret the meaning of different relationships in light of these considerations.

Description of studies

The search of all databases yielded 10,773 citations. After the removal of duplicates, the titles and abstracts of the remaining 7948 were screened, resulting in an initial exclusion of 7790 studies (see Figure 1). Full-text articles were obtained and/or additional information was sought from authors for the remaining 158 references. Fifteen studies met the inclusion criteria for this review. Reasons for exclusion of 143 studies passing the title/abstract screening phase were related to the study not being conducted in a LMIC (41%); not fitting age criteria (34%); had no full-text article available (15%); had no quantitative study and/or no statistical test of association (6%); had no explicit measurement of ART adherence (2%); had no analysis of factors in relation to adherence (2%); and examined effects of an intervention (1%). Of the 21 articles for which full text could not be obtained, the authors of seven studies were contacted to determine eligibility, while no contact could be made with the authors of 14 studies. See Table 2 for characteristics of the study populations.

In total the studies included 4363 participants. Participants across studies were described as “children,” “adolescents,” or “youth” and recruited predominantly from pediatric and non-specific hospitals, clinics, and treatment centers. The majority of studies reported a mean or median age between 10 and 19, with three studies specifically studying adolescents ages 10–19 (Cardorelle, Toussoungamana-Peka, Miakassisa, & Okoko, 2014; Filho et al., 2008; Machado et al., 2009). Gender

distribution ranged across studies (37–59% females). Thirteen studies reported on percentage of study sample who were aware of their HIV status, with rates of disclosure ranging from 15% (Biadgilign, Deribew, Amberbir, & Deribe, 2008) to 100% (Fongkaew et al., 2014). Route of transmission was reported in seven studies, and the majority of participants included vertically infected adolescents (80–100% per study). The number of adolescent participants across studies varied greatly, ranging from 30 to 948 across the 13 included cross-sectional studies. Two prospective cohort studies were which followed 190 and 280 participants, respectively, over 18 months each (Cupsa, Gheonea, Bulucea, & Dinescu, 2006; Sirikum et al., 2014).

The majority of studies analyzed a range of factors in relation to adherence, while three studies evaluated a single measure. These included a study measuring HIV disclosure status (Sirikum et al., 2014), HIV stigma (Fongkaew et al., 2014), and psychosocial function (Lowenthal et al., 2014). Of the two prospective studies included in this review, Cupsa et al. (2006) analyzed a range of factors over time in relation to adherence, while Sirikum et al. (2014) followed children as they were told their HIV status and examined the relationship between disclosure and adherence. Methods of measurement of possible factors related to adherence included interviews, questionnaires, and surveys administered to adolescents (Cardorelle et al., 2014; Filho et al., 2008; Fongkaew et al., 2014; Mavhu et al., 2013; Musiime et al., 2012; Ndiaye et al., 2013), caretakers (Biadgilign et al., 2008; Biressaw, Abegaz, Abebe, Taye, & Belay, 2013; Kikuchi et al., 2012; Lowenthal et al., 2012; Sirikum et al., 2014), or unspecified (Cupsa et al., 2006; Ernesto et al., 2012; Machado et al., 2009; Musiime et al., 2012; Nabukeera-Barungi, Kalyesubula, Keki-tiinwa, Byakika-Tusiime, & Musoke, 2007).

Seven of the cross-sectional studies in this review reported response rates ranging from 20% (Ndiaye et al., 2013) to 96% (Filho et al., 2008; Nabukeera-Barungi et al., 2007). One prospective cohort study reported information on study attrition (Sirikum et al., 2014). Limited information was available across studies on sampling strategies, though several studies reported data on reasons for excluding participants (Biressaw et al., 2013; Kikuchi et al., 2012; Mavhu et al., 2013; Ndiaye et al., 2013; Sirikum et al., 2014). Given that 13 studies were cross-sectional, a major limitation of these findings involves the extent to which causality can be inferred from specific relationships (Carlson & Morrison, 2009). One study assessed inter-individual changes in adherence over time while controlling for possible confounders. In this study, ART adherence was measured pre-and post-disclosure at 6 and 12 months, and multi-variable analysis was used to evaluate correlation with HIV disclosure (Sirikum et al., 2014). Logistic




Figure 1. Flowchart of study selection adapted from PRISMA statement. Source: Moher et al. (2009).

regression was used in 10 studies to report relationships as odds-ratios adjusted for potential confounders, while one study reported results from both unadjusted and adjusted associations from multivariable logistic regression (Musiime et al., 2012). Four studies used simple tests of statistical comparison to examine factors in relation to adherence (Cardorelle et al., 2014; Cupsa et al., 2006; Fongkaew et al., 2014; Machado et al., 2009). Thus, only 11 studies reported information on the strengths of relationships between variables and adherence, accounting for other variables.

Overall estimates of adherence ranged from as low as 16% among a Zimbabwean population measured by self-report (Mavhu et al., 2013) to 99% among Thai

adolescents as measured by pill count (Sirikum et al., 2014). Method of adherence measurement varied greatly across studies (Table 2), consistent with other reviews of adherence among youth (Reisner et al., 2009; Vreeman et al., 2008). Methods used across studies include clinic-based pill count, unannounced home pill count, adolescent or caregiver self-reported number of missed doses, pharmacy refill data. One study explicitly measured adherence via viral load (Lowenthal et al., 2012). Five studies used more than one method for measuring adherence and produced multiple adherence estimates (Biressaw et al., 2013; Ernesto et al., 2012; Filho et al., 2008; Musiime et al., 2012; Nabukeera-Barungi et al., 2007). Major discrepancies in adherence estimates were

Table 2. Characteristics of included studies ($N = 15$).

Study	Location	Setting	N	Age (range)	Disclosed (%)	Design	Cutoff for good adherence	Adherence measurement method	Rate of "good" adherence	Factors significantly associated with adherence (+) associated with good adherence (-) associated with suboptimal adherence
Sirikum et al. (2014)	Bangkok, Thailand	Followed up as part of research study	280	Median 14.8, 61% were aged 10–16	70% disclosed during course of study	PC	95%+ doses	Pill count	98% at start; 99.4% at 6 and 12 months	No significant associations found (only examined HIV status disclosure in relation to adherence)
Cardorelle et al. (2014)	Brazzaville, Congo	University hospital-based outpatient pediatric treatment center	170	Mean 13.9, range 10–19	78.8%	CS	95%+ doses	SR 7 days	48%	(+) <i>Younger age</i> (+) <i>Parent administration</i> (-) <i>Being sexually active</i>
Fongkaew et al. (2014)	Chang Mai, Thailand	Four community hospitals	30	Mean 15.8 (14–21)	100%	CS	NR	SR month	74 (score out of 100)	<i>No significant associations found (only evaluated stigma in relation to adherence)</i>
Ndiaye et al. (2013)	Gaberone, Botswana	Hospital-based HIV treatment center	82	Median 15, range 13–18	100%	CS	95%+ doses	Pill count	75.6%	(-) <i>Male gender</i>
Biressaw et al. (2013)	Addis Ababa, Ethiopia	Pediatric ART clinic	210	Median 11, 74.8% age 9+	52%	CS	95%+ doses	CR forever CR 7 days Unannounced home pill count	66.7% 93% 34.8%	(+) <i>Married caregiver</i> (+) <i>Widowed/divorced caregiver</i> (+) <i>Adolescent not aware of serostatus</i> (-) <i>d4T/3Tc/EFV combination</i>
Mavhu et al. (2013)	Harare, Zimbabwe	Psychosocial support intervention	229	Median 14 (6–19)	61%	CS	>95%	SR month	16%	<i>No significant associations found in multivariate analysis</i>
Ernesto et al. (2012)	Sao Paulo, Brazil	Pediatric hospital	108	Mean 13.2 (7–19)	NR	CS	95%+ doses	SR/CR 24 hr SR/CR 7 days Pharmacy refill	84% 72% 55%	(-) <i>Having missed clinic appts</i> (-) <i>Caregiver not having a religious practice</i> (-) <i>Intolerance to medication</i> (-) <i>Difficulty of medicine admin. By caregiver</i> (-) <i>Medicine admin. By adolescent</i>
Musiime et al. (2012)	Urban/rural Uganda	HIV treatment centers	948	Mean 11.6 (3–15)	41%	CS	95%+ doses	SR/CR 3 days Clinic-based pill count	90% 84%	(+) <i>Male gender</i> (-) <i>Caregiverbeing widowed</i>
Kikuchi et al. (2012)	Kigali, Rwanda	Four pediatric hospitals	717	Mean 9.3 (58% age 10+) (6 months–14 years)	50%	CS	85%+ doses	Pill count	51%	(-) <i>Living in an urban area</i> (-) <i>Being a double orphan</i> (-) <i>Having stunted growth</i> (-) <i>Low caregiver involvement</i> (-) <i>Taking 3+ pills/day</i>
Lowenthal et al. (2012)	Francis-town and Maun, Botswana	NR	692	Median 11.9 (8–16.9)	NR	CS	Viral load > 400 co/ml	Viral load	77%	(-) <i>Low mental health score</i>
Machado et al. (2009)	Sao Paulo, Brazil	Pediatric clinic	46	Median 14 (10–19)	83%	CS	N/A	Combination	48%	(-) <i>Having a high number of ART schemes</i>

Table 2. (Continued)

Study	Location	Setting	N	Age (range)	Disclosed (%)	Design	Cutoff for good adherence	Adherence measurement method	Rate of "good" adherence	Factors significantly associated with adherence (+) associated with good adherence (-) associated with suboptimal adherence
Filho et al. (2008)	Rio de Janeiro, Brazil	Outpatient clinics	101	Mean 13 (10–19)	78%	CS	95%+ doses	SR 3 days SR 3 days compared with viral load	94% 79%	(+) Adolescent having been taught how to take ART by health-care worker (-) Adolescent lack of concern about ART (-) Adolescent never carrying ART while out
Biadgilign et al. (2008)	Addis Ababa, Ethiopia	Five hospitals	390	Median 9 (53% age 10+) (1–14)	15%	CS	95%+ doses	CR 7 days	87%	(+) Adolescent not aware of caregiver health problems (+) Taking co-trimoxazole prophylaxis besides ART (-) Not paying a fee for treatment (-) Ever receiving nutritional support from clinic
Nabukeera-Barungi et al. (2007)	Kampala, Uganda	Hospital-based pediatric HIV clinic	170	Mean 9.8 (51% age 10+) (2–18)	59% (of those age 9+)	CS	95%+	CR 3 days Clinic-based pill count Unannounced home-based pill count	89% 94% 72%	(+) Having been hospitalized before starting ART (-) Caregiver being the only one knowing adolescent's serostatus
Cupsa (2006)	Craiova, Romania	ART Distribution center	190	Mean 8.5 (5.7–11) Mean age increased to 10 by end of study	NR	PC (1.5 yr)	>85% doses	Combination	74%	(-) <i>Having disorganized family and in loco parentis arrangements</i> (-) <i>Low caregiver education level</i> (-) <i>Living in a rural community</i>

Note: Italics denote Association derived from simple tests of association and did not report on the strength of the relationship controlling for potential cofounders.

found based on measurement method, with one study demonstrating a sample adherence estimate of 93% when measured by caregiver report compared to an estimate of 35% when measured by unannounced home pill counts (Biressaw et al., 2013).

Of the 15 studies identified by this review, 12 studies reported a total of 34 significant associations between factors measured in the study and ART adherence. These studies included 10 correlates associated with good adherence and 24 associations with suboptimal adherence. Across studies, 25 associations were derived from multivariate analysis and reported as adjusted odds-ratios (Table 3). Overall, the results reveal a range of diverse factors associated with adherence that can be loosely categorized as related to the adolescent; caregiver; medication; or social, physical, or healthcare environment, which aligns with a conceptual framework for pediatric ART adherence created by Haberer and Mellins (2009).

Adolescent-related factors

Studies in this review analyzed a range of adolescent-specific factors related to sociodemographics, behavior,

and well-being. Significant but inconsistent relationships were reported for gender across studies; being male was associated with good adherence in a study of 946 Ugandan adolescents (crude OR = 1.9 [1.2–3.1], $p = 0.005$; Musiime et al., 2012), while it was associated with suboptimal adherence in Botswana (adj. OR = 3.29 [1.13–9.54], $p = 0.03$; Ndiaye et al., 2013). Gender was not significantly correlated with adherence in 10 other studies in which it was analyzed. Lack of awareness of serostatus was significantly associated with good adherence in one study (Biressaw et al., 2013). Other factors significantly associated with good adherence across studies included having previous hospitalizations (Nabukeera-Barungi et al., 2007), younger age of adolescence (Cardorelle et al., 2014), and lack of awareness of caregiver's health problems (Biadgilign et al., 2008). Double orphan status (Kikuchi et al., 2012), stunted growth (Kikuchi et al., 2012), low mental health score (Lowenthal et al., 2012), and sexual activity (Cardorelle et al., 2014) were correlated with worse adherence across studies. No significant relationships were reported for race/ethnicity, education, or substance use across studies.

Table 3. Factors associated with good and suboptimal adherence across studies ($n = 15$).

	Associated with good adherence	Associated with suboptimal adherence
Adolescent-related	<i>Male gender</i> Adolescent not aware of serostatus <i>Younger age</i> Adolescent not aware of caregiver health problems Having been hospitalized before starting ART	Male gender Being a double orphan Having stunted growth Low mental health score <i>Being sexually active</i>
Caregiver-related	Married caregiver Widowed/divorced caregiver	<i>Caregiver being widowed</i> Caregiver not having a religious practice Low caregiver involvement Caregiver being the only one knowing child's serostatus <i>Having disorganized family and in loco parentis arrangements</i> <i>Low caregiver education level</i>
Medication-related	Adolescents having been taught how to take ART by health-care worker Taking co-trimoxazole prophylaxis besides ART <i>Parent administration of drug</i>	D4T/3Tc/EFV combination Intolerance to medication Difficulty of administration by caregiver Medicine administered by adolescent Taking 3+ pills per day Adolescent lack of concern about ART Adolescent never carrying ART while out <i>Having a high number of ART schemes</i> Caregiver not paying a fee for treatment
Environmental, social, and health care-related		Living in an urban area Having missed clinic appointments Ever receiving nutritional support from clinic <i>Living in a rural area</i>

Note: Italics denote Association derived from simple tests of association and did not report on strength of relationship controlling for potential confounding variables.

Caregiver-related factors

Several factors were significantly associated with adherence across studies that emphasize the potential importance of family structure, caregiver support, and caregiver involvement in adolescent adherence. Biadgilign et al. (2013) found that Ethiopian adolescents with married (OR = 7.85, [2.11–29.13], $p < 0.05$) or widowed/divorced caregivers (OR = 7.14, [2.00–25.46], $p < 0.05$) had significantly better ART adherence than those with single caregivers. Conversely, low caregiver involvement (Kikuchi et al., 2012), widowed caregiver (Musiime et al., 2012), “disorganized” family (Cupsa et al., 2006) were associated with suboptimal adherence across studies. Low caregiver education level (Cupsa et al., 2006) and caregiver being the only one knowing child’s serostatus (Nabukeera-Barungi et al., 2007) were also correlated with worse adherence.

Medication-related factors

Analysis of medication-related factors highlights the challenges associated with burdensome regimens, ease of administration, and the potential impact of caregiver versus adolescent administration. Administration of medication by adolescents was associated with suboptimal adherence in one study (Ernesto et al., 2012), while caregiver administration of drug was correlated with good adherence in another study using a simple test of association (Cardorelle et al., 2014). Difficulty of administration by caregiver was associated with suboptimal adherence in one study (Ernesto et al., 2012), while adolescents who were taught how to take ART by a health-care worker were more likely to have good adherence (Filho et al., 2008). Relationships between burdensome regimens and suboptimal adherence were reported; taking three or more pills per day (Kikuchi et al., 2012) and having a high number of ART schemes (Machado et al., 2009) were correlated with suboptimal adherence. One study examining adolescent attitudes toward medication found that adolescent “lack of concern” about ART and never carrying ART while out were associated with suboptimal adherence (Filho et al., 2008).

Social, environmental, and health care-related factors

Few factors related to social environment and health care were measured and correlated with adherence across studies. Urban versus rural residence was inconsistently but significantly associated with adherence (Biadgilign et al., 2008; Musiime et al., 2012). Several studies assessed the relationship between adherence and measures of socioeconomic status such as monthly income and/or caregiver employment, but no study found a significant relationship. One study analyzed the relationship between HIV stigma and adherence to ART but found no significant relationship (Fongkaew et al.,

2014). Receipt of clinic nutritional support (Biadgilign et al., 2008) and missed clinic appointments (Ernesto et al., 2012) were also health care-related factors associated with suboptimal adherence.

Discussion

This systematic review identified 15 studies assessing correlates and predictors of ART adherence representing 4363 participants across 10 LMICs. Factors associated with adherence are categorized into four broad themes related to the (1) adolescent, (2) caregiver, (3) medication, and (4) physical, social, and/or health-care environment. Although the results of this review reveal few consistent relationships, the diverse range of factors identified across a relatively small number of studies sheds light on potential avenues for intervention among certain sub-groups while highlighting the need for further investigation. Certain themes emerged as potentially important across studies, including the possible impact of (1) gender and knowledge of serostatus, (2) the influence of family structure, (3) the impact of burdensome ART regimens, route of administration, and attitudes about medication, and (4) health care and environmental factors, such as rural versus urban location and having missed clinic appointments.

While this is the first systematic review to focus specifically on correlates of adherence among adolescents in LMICs, the results highlight a diverse range of correlates consistent with the findings of previous reviews among pediatric, adult, and youth populations in high- and low-income countries (Mills, Nachega, Bangsberg, et al., 2006; Reisner et al., 2009; Vreeman et al., 2008). Financial constraints, complicated regimens, and side effects have been reported as significant barriers to adherence among adults in LMICs (Mills, Nachega, Bangsberg, et al., 2006), and caregiver relationship, adherence strategies, and child beliefs about medication were similarly identified as factors impacting on adherence among children (Vreeman et al., 2008). These commonalities shed light on various concurrent influences, such as the transfer in responsibility of medication administration combined with a burdensome ART regimen, which could interact during the adolescent period to increase risk for suboptimal adherence.

Comparison of these results with previous literature also highlights gaps important for future study. Factors such as substance use, sexual activity, housing instability, and quality of life were significantly associated with adherence among youth living with HIV/AIDS in the USA, while few studies in this review examined those variables (Reisner et al., 2009). Most variables studied in this review were focused on the individual-level factors, and there was a lack of focus on broader environmental,

social, health care, and other structural forces, including adolescents' changing legal status, social capacity, and access to "adolescent-friendly" services (Binagwaho et al., 2012; Sawyer et al., 2012; Tanner et al., 2014). Additionally, the results of this review demonstrate a troubling lack of published research on factors impacting on adherence in adolescent populations in many LMICs with emerging adolescent HIV epidemics, such as in South Asia, the Middle East, and the Caribbean (UNICEF, 2011).

The majority of studies identified by this review were cross-sectional, thus limiting our ability to infer causal relationships between adherence and risk- and resilience-promoting factors. These results emphasize the need for more methodologically rigorous prospective studies that measure inter-individual change in factors over time while controlling for confounders, which would better capture the changing influences of adherence as children living with HIV/AIDS transition to adulthood. Furthermore, these results underscore the importance of method of measurement of adherence. There exists debate on the best method for measuring adherence among young people who may rely on caregivers, and each method has its own strength and limitations (Berg & Arnsten, 2006; Haberer & Mellins, 2009). Few studies used multiple measures of adherence and/or incorporated objective immunological measurements into their definition of adherence, and only one study operationalized adherence as viral load (Lowenthal et al., 2012).

This review has several limitations. We did not exclude any studies due to methodological rigor, given the limited number of studies conducted on adolescents in LMICs. The cross-sectional nature, use of simple tests of association without controlling for confounders, and general heterogeneity among studies limit the extent to which inferences can be made about causal mechanisms. Furthermore, this review does not include qualitative data. However, these results contribute to a larger body of knowledge related to the determinants of HIV-related outcomes among adolescents in LMICs. Targeting intervention design around potentially important risk- and resilience-promoting factors, such as caregiver support and mode of ART administration, could be important in achieving optimal adherence. These results also clearly identify the need for more rigorous research to better capture the diverse range of factors impacting on the lived experience and adherence-related behaviors of adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in LMICs.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Funding

This work was supported by the Nuffield Foundation [CPF/41513], the International AIDS Society through the CIPHER grant [155-Hod]. Additional support for Lucie Cluver was provided by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme [agreement number 313421]. Support for Carly Hudelson was supplied by the International Rotary Foundation.

ORCID

Carly Hudelson  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5191-7455>

References

- Ajose, O., Mookerjee, S., Mills, E. J., Boulle, A., & Ford, N. (2012). Treatment outcomes of patients on second-line antiretroviral therapy in resource-limited settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*, *26*, 929–938. doi:10.1097/QAD.0b013e328351f5b2
- Arrivillaga, M., Martucci, V., Hoyos, P. A., & Arango, A. (2013). Adherence among children and young people living with HIV/AIDS: A systematic review of medication and comprehensive interventions. *Vulnerable Children and Youth Studies*, *8*, 321–337. doi:10.1080/17450128.2013.764031
- Bain-Brickley, D., Butler, L. M., Kennedy, G. E., & Rutherford, G. W. (2011). Interventions to improve adherence to antiretroviral therapy in children with HIV infection. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, (12), CD009513. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD009513
- Bangsberg, D. R., Charlebois, E. D., Grant, R. M., Holodniy, M., Deeks, S. G., Perry, S., ... Zolopa, A. (2003). High levels of adherence do not prevent accumulation of HIV drug resistance mutations. *AIDS*, *17*, 1925–1932. doi:10.1097/00002030-200309050-00011
- Bangsberg, D. R., Perry, S., Charlebois, E. D., Clark, R. A., Roberston, M., Zolopa, A. R., & Moss, A. (2001). Non-adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy predicts progression to AIDS. *AIDS*, *15*, 1181–1183. doi:10.1097/00002030-200106150-00015
- Berg, K. M., & Arnsten, J. H. (2006). Practical and conceptual challenges in measuring antiretroviral adherence. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*, *43*(Suppl. 1), S79–S87. doi:10.1097/01.qai.0000248337.97814.66
- Biadgilign, S., Deribew, A., Amberbir, A., & Deribe, K. (2008). Adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy and its correlates among HIV infected pediatric patients in Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatrics*, *8*(1), 53.
- Binagwaho, A., Fuller, A., Kerry, V., Dougherty, S., Agbonyitor, M., Wagner, C., ... Farmer, P. (2012). Adolescents and the right to health: Eliminating age-related barriers to HIV/AIDS services in Rwanda. *AIDS Care*, *24*, 936–942. doi:10.1080/09540121.2011.648159
- Biressaw, S., Abegaz, W. E., Abebe, M., Taye, W. A., & Belay, M. (2013). Adherence to antiretroviral therapy and associated factors among HIV infected children in Ethiopia: Unannounced home-based pill count versus

- caregivers' report. *BMC Pediatrics*, 13, 132. doi:10.1186/1471-2431-13-132
- Cardorelle, A. M., Toussoungamana-Peka, S. A., Miakassissa, C. M., & Okoko, A. (2014). Compliance with antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected adolescents in Brazzaville, Congo. *Archives De Pediatrie*, 21(1), 105–107. doi:10.1016/j.arcped.2013.10.011
- Carlson, M. D. A., & Morrison, R. S. (2009). Study design, precision, and validity in observational studies. *Journal of Palliative Medicine*, 12(1), 77–82. doi:10.1089/jpm.2008.9.9690
- Cochrane Review Group on HIV/AIDS. (2012, May 25). *Searching archives of HIV/AIDS conference abstracts*. Retrieved July 1, 2013, from <http://hiv.cochrane.org/node/59>
- Cupsa, A., Gheonea, C., Bulucea, D., & Dinescu, S. (2006). Factors with a negative influence on compliance to antiretroviral therapies. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 918, 351–354. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2000.tb05504.x
- Ernesto, A. S., Lemos, R. M., Huehara, M. I., Morcillo, A. M., Dos Santos Vilela, M. M., & Silva, M. T. (2012). Usefulness of pharmacy dispensing records in the evaluation of adherence to antiretroviral therapy in Brazilian children and adolescents. *The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 16, 315–320. doi:10.1016/j.bjid.2012.06.006
- Filho, A. C., Nogueira, S. A., Machado, E. S., Abreu, T. F., de Oliveira, R. H., Evangelista, L., & Hofer, C. B. (2008). Factors associated with lack of antiretroviral adherence among adolescents in a reference centre in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 19, 685–688. doi:10.1258/ijsa.2008.008017
- Fongkaew, W., Viseskul, N., Suksatit, B., Settheekul, S., Chontawan, R., Grimes, R. M., & Grimes, D. E. (2014). Verifying quantitative stigma and medication adherence scales using qualitative methods among Thai youth living with HIV/AIDS. *Journal of the International Association of Providers of AIDS Care*, 13(1), 69–77. doi:10.1177/1545109712463734
- Greenhalgh, T. (1999). Narrative based medicine in an evidence based world. *BMJ*, 318, 323–325. doi:10.1136/bmj.318.7179.323
- Haberer, J., & Mellins, C. (2009). Pediatric adherence to HIV antiretroviral therapy. *Current HIV/AIDS Reports*, 6, 194–200. doi:10.1007/s11904-009-0026-8
- Havens, P. L., & Gibb, D. M. (2007). Increasing antiretroviral drug access for children with HIV infection. *Pediatrics*, 119, 838–845. doi:10.1542/peds.2007-0273
- Hazra, R., Siberry, G. K., & Mofenson, L. M. (2010). Growing up with HIV: Children, adolescents, and young adults with perinatally acquired HIV infection. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 61, 169–185.
- Holmes, W. C., Bilker, W. B., Wang, H., Chapman, J., & Gross, R. (2007). HIV/AIDS-specific quality of life and adherence to antiretroviral therapy over time. *JAIDS Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, 46, 323–327. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e31815724fe
- Kalichman, S. C., Cherry, C., White, D., Jones, M., Grebler, T., Kalichman, M. O., ... Schinazi, R. F. (2011). Sexual HIV transmission and antiretroviral therapy: A prospective cohort study of behavioral risk factors among men and women living with HIV/AIDS. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine: A Publication of the Society of Behavioral Medicine*, 42(1), 111–119. doi:10.1007/s12160-011-9271-3
- Kikuchi, K., Poudel, K. C., Muganda, J., Majyambere, A., Otsuka, K., Sato, T., ... Jimba, M. (2012). High risk of ART non-adherence and delay of ART initiation among HIV positive double orphans in Kigali, Rwanda. *PLoS One*, 7, e41998. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041998.t005
- Kim, S.-H., Gerver, S. M., Fidler, S., & Ward, H. (2014). Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in adolescents living with HIV: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *AIDS*, 28(13), 1945–1956. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000000316
- Lowenthal, E., Lawler, K., Harari, N., Moamogwe, L., Masunge, J., Masedi, M., ... Gross, R. (2012). Rapid psychosocial function screening test identified treatment failure in HIV plus African youth. *AIDS Care—Psychological and Socio-Medical Aspects of AIDS/HIV*, 24, 722–727. doi:10.1080/09540121.2011.644233
- Lowenthal, E. D., Bakeera-Kitaka, S., Marukutira, T., Chapman, J., Goldrath, K., & Ferrand, R. A. (2014). Perinatally acquired HIV infection in adolescents from sub-Saharan Africa: A review of emerging challenges. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 14, 627–639.
- Machado, J. K. C., Sant'Anna, M. J. C., Coates, V., Almeida, F. J., Berezin, E. N., & Omar, H. A. (2009). Brazilian adolescents infected by HIV: Epidemiologic characteristics and adherence to treatment. *The Scientific World Journal*, 9, 1273–1285. doi:10.1100/tsw.2009.136
- Martin, M., Del Cacho, E., Codina, C., Tuset, M., De Lazzari, E., Mallolas, J., ... Ribas, J. (2008). Relationship between adherence level, type of the antiretroviral regimen, and plasma HIV type 1 RNA viral load: A prospective cohort study. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses*, 24, 1263–1268. doi:10.1089/aid.2008.0141
- Mavhu, W., Berwick, J., Chirawu, P., Makamba, M., Copas, A., Dirawo, J., ... Frances M. Cowan. (2013). Enhancing psychosocial support for HIV positive adolescents in Harare, Zimbabwe. *PLoS One*, 8(7), e70254. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0070254.t003
- Mills, E. J., Nachega, J. B., Bangsberg, D. R., Singh, S., Rachlis, B., Wu, P., ... Cooper, C. (2006). Adherence to HAART: A systematic review of developed and developing nation patient-reported barriers and facilitators. *PLoS Medicine*, 3(11), e438. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.0030438.t007
- Mills, E. J., Nachega, J. B., Buchan, I., Orbinski, J., Attaran, A., Singh, S., ... Cooper, C. (2006). Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in sub-Saharan Africa and North America. *JAMA: The Journal of the American Medical Association*, 296, 679–690. doi:10.1001/jama.296.6.679
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097
- Musiime, V., Kayiwa, J., Kiconco, M., Tamale, W., Alima, H., Mugerwa, H., ... Kizito, H. (2012). Response to antiretroviral therapy of HIV type 1-infected children in urban and rural settings of Uganda. *AIDS Research and Human Retroviruses*, 28, 1647–1657. doi:10.1089/aid.2011.0313

- Nabukeera-Barungi, N., Kalyesubula, I., Kekitiinwa, A., Byakika-Tusiime, J., & Musoke, P. (2007). Adherence to antiretroviral therapy in children attending Mulago hospital, Kampala. *Annals of Tropical Paediatrics: International Child Health*, 27(2), 123–131. doi:10.1179/146532807X192499
- Nachega, J. B., Hislop, M., Nguyen, H., Dowdy, D. W., Chaisson, R. E., Regensberg, L., ... Maartens, G. (2009). Antiretroviral therapy adherence, virologic and immunologic outcomes in adolescents compared with adults in Southern Africa. *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes (1999)*, 51(1), 65–71. doi:10.1097/QAI.0b013e318199072e
- Nachega, J. B., Mills, E. J., & Schechter, M. (2010). Antiretroviral therapy adherence and retention in care in middle-income and low-income countries: Current status of knowledge and research priorities. *Current Opinion in HIV and AIDS*, 5(1), 70–77. doi:10.1097/COH.0b013e328333ad61
- Ndiaye, M., Nyasulu, P., Nguyen, H., Lowenthal, E. D., Gross, R., Mills, E. J., & Nachega, J. B. (2013). Risk factors for suboptimal antiretroviral therapy adherence in HIV-infected adolescents in Gaborone, Botswana: A pilot cross-sectional study. *Patient Preference and Adherence*, 7, 891–895.
- Paterson, D. L., Swindells, S., Mohr, J., Brester, M., Vergis, E. N., Squier, C., ... Singh, N. (2000). Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 133(1), 21–30. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-133-1-200007040-00004
- Reda, A. A., & Biadgilign, S. (2012). Determinants of adherence to antiretroviral therapy among HIV-infected patients in Africa. *AIDS Research and Treatment*, 2012. doi:10.1155/2012/574656
- Reisner, S. L., Mimiaga, M. J., Skeer, M., Perkovich, B., Johnson, C. V., & Safren, S. A. (2009). A review of HIV antiretroviral adherence and intervention studies among HIV-infected youth. *Topics in HIV Medicine: A Publication of the International AIDS Society, USA*, 17(1), 14–25.
- San-Andrés, F.-J., Rubio, R., Castilla, J., Pulido, F., Palao, G., de Pedro, I., ... del Palacio, A. (2003). Incidence of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome-associated opportunistic diseases and the effect of treatment on a cohort of 1115 patients infected with human immunodeficiency virus, 1989–1997. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 36, 1177–1185.
- Sanderson, S., Tatt, I. D., & Higgins, J. P. T. (2007). Tools for assessing quality and susceptibility to bias in observational studies in epidemiology: A systematic review and annotated bibliography. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 36, 666–676. doi:10.1093/ije/dym018
- Sawyer, S. M., Afifi, R. A., Bearinger, L. H., Blakemore, S.-J., Dick, B., Ezech, A. C., & Patton, G. C. (2012). Adolescence: A foundation for future health. *The Lancet*, 379, 1630–1640. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60072-5
- Scanlon, M. L., & Vreeman, R. C. (2013). Current strategies for improving access and adherence to antiretroviral therapies in resource-limited settings. *HIV/AIDS (Auckland, NZ)*, 5, 1–17.
- Simoni, J. M., Montgomery, A., Martin, E., New, M., Demas, P. A., & Rana, S. (2007). Adherence to antiretroviral therapy for pediatric HIV infection: A qualitative systematic review with recommendations for research and clinical management. *Pediatrics*, 119, e1371–e1383. doi:10.1542/peds.2006-1232
- Sirikum, C., Sophonphan, J., Chuanjaroen, T., Lakonphon, S., Srimuan, A., Chusut, P., ... HIV-NAT 015 study team. (2014). HIV disclosure and its effect on treatment outcomes in perinatal HIV-infected Thai children. *AIDS care*, 26(9), 1144–1149.
- Tanner, A. E., Philbin, M. M., Duval, A., Ellen, J., Kapogianis, B., & Fortenberry, J. D. (2014). “Youth friendly” clinics: Considerations for linking and engaging HIV-infected adolescents into care. *AIDS Care*, 26, 199–205. doi:10.1080/09540121.2013.808800
- UNAIDS. (2013). *Global report: UNAIDS report on the global AIDS epidemic*. Geneva: Author.
- UNICEF. (2011). *Opportunity in crisis: Preventing HIV from early adolescence to young adulthood*. New York: Author.
- Van Dyke, R. B., Lee, S., Johnson, G. M., Wiznia, A., Mohan, K., Stanley, K., ... Nachman, S. (2002). Reported adherence as a determinant of response to highly active antiretroviral therapy in children who have human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Pediatrics*, 109(4), e61.
- van Rossum, A., Fraaij, P. L. A., & de Groot, R. (2002). Efficacy of highly active antiretroviral therapy in HIV-1 infected children. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 2(2), 93–102. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(02)00183-4
- Vreeman, R. C., Wiehe, S. E., Pearce, E. C., & Nyandiko, W. M. (2008). A systematic review of pediatric adherence to antiretroviral therapy in low-and middle-income countries. *The Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 27, 686–691. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e31816dd325
- Wales, H. E. B. (2004, January). Questions to assist with the critical appraisal of an observational study eg cohort, case-control, cross-sectional. *Health Evidence Bulletin* p. 26. Retrieved from <http://hebw.cf.ac.uk/projectmethod/Project%20Methodology%205.pdf>
- WHO. (2013a). *HIV and adolescents: Guidance for HIV testing and counselling and care for adolescents living with HIV* (pp. viii). Geneva: Author.
- WHO. (2013b, July 8). *WHO/Adolescent health*. WHO. Retrieved July 8, 2013, from http://www.who.int/topics/adolescent_health/en/files/431/en.html
- World Bank. (2013). *World bank list of economies – July 2013*. Retrieved July 3, 2013, from <http://data.worldbank.org/about/country-classifications/country-and-lending-groups>
- Young, S., Wheeler, A. C., McCoy, S. I., & Weiser, S. D. (2014). A review of the role of food insecurity in adherence to care and treatment among adult and pediatric populations living with HIV and AIDS. *AIDS and Behavior*, 5, S505–15. doi:10.1007/s10461-013-0547-4